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## B-IACM Quarterly report, Q3, 2020

## Introduction:

B-IACM has been a cornerstone strategy for the national HIV program. With the objective of B-IACM to leverage existing strategies and approaches for case detection, immediate ART enrolment and retention in HIV care to achieve the global 95-95-95 targets by 2025.

B-IACM was initiated in 2014 in Siem Reap and Battambang provinces and scaled up to 25 provinces (56 operational districts) by Septemeber, 2020.

B-IACM has been expanded the coverage province from 20 provinces in 2019 to 25 provinces nationally in July 2020 in new sites (Kep, Kratie, Stung Treng, Rattankiri and Mondulkiri). The B-IACM data from the new sites of these provinces are not available and have not yet been collected as Case Management Coordinator (CMC) and Case Management Assistant (CMA), have just been trained and Group of Champion (GoC) has been formed up.

COVERAGE of B-IACM implementation, Q3, 2020 (20 provinces: 51 ODs)


B-IACM HIV cascade Q3, 2020 (20 provinces: 51 ODs)


According to B-IACM approach, the 3th quarter of 2020 data shows that there were 920 reactive cases of HIV in health centers and communities over the past three months. Of these, 905 reactive cases came for the confirmatory test at VCCT ( $98.36 \%$ ), among the confirm test at VCCT, there were 894 positive and 876 were enrolled in ART services (97.98\%).

Total Numbers of reactive HIV Cases by types, Q3, 2020


Among 920 with a reactive result, of $\mathbf{5 6 \%}(\mathrm{N}=512)$ were a general population, follow by MSM is $\mathbf{2 8 \%}(\mathrm{N}=$ 253) followed by TG: 8 \% ( $\mathrm{N}=76$ ), Entertainment Worker(EW): 3\% ( $\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{2 4}$ ), Pregnant Woman: $\mathbf{2}$ \%( $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 3}$ ) and HIV partners were $\mathbf{2 \%}(\mathbf{N}=19)$.

HIV positive cases by provinces, Q3, 2020 ( 20 provinces: 51 ODs)


Number of reactive Cases by Occupation for Q3, 2020.



| 26 | Sihanouk Ville | Sihanouk | 24 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | 24 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| 27 | Kampong Thom | Kampong Thom | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 28 |  | Baray | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| 29 | Kampong Speu | Kampong Speu | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 30 |  | Kong Pisey | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 31 |  | Odong | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 |
| 32 | Kampot | Kampot | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| 33 |  | Kampong Trach | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| 34 | Takeo | Daunkeo | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 |
| 35 |  | Kirivong | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 36 |  | Angrokar | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 37 |  | Preykabas | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 |
| 38 | Koh Kong | Smach Mean Chey | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| 39 |  | Srey Ambel | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| 40 | Kandal | Kien Svay | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 41 |  | Koh Thom | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 42 |  | Cheay Chumneah | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| 43 | Kampong Chhnang | OD Kg Chhnang | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| 44 | Preah Vihear | OD Tbeng Meanchey | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
|  |  | Total | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| 45 | Svay Rieng | OD Svay Rieng | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 46 |  | OD RomeasHek | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| 47 | Tbong Khmum | ODSuang | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 |
| 48 |  | OD Memut | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
| 49 | Prey Veng | OD Prey Veng | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| 50 |  | OD Pea Reang | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 51 |  | OD Peam Ror | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 953 | 930 | 9 | 14 | 908 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  | 97.59\% | 0.94\% | 1.47\% | 97.63\% | 2.37\% |

Challenges: By verifying the data reported in the B-IACM Database and the P4R report; found that the P4R report were about 33 reactive cases higher than data in B-IACM databases. The difference is due to some ODs without CMA contract (Thamor Pouk, Prahnet Preas, OD Kong Pisey ) and CMA turned over in Poipet OD, then CMA has just recruited, BMC province.

Solutions: To tackle with an issue, B-IACM team has been contacting each OD for verification.
Additionally, the programmer will also look at all codes used to extract data from the database. NCHADS
had conducted the workshop and refresher trainings on update B-IACM tool and database in Decemeber, 2020 to improve the B-IACM data quality.
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